

Verification Opinion Number 124358/1/VoI0286/MP1/12/08

SGS has conducted the verification of the GHG emissions data reported by MGM Worldwide, LLC for "Xinjiang Xiaocaohu Wind Power Project" ("the Project") in China, in accordance with the VCS2007.

The verification relates to the Project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported during the reporting period 08/12/2007 to 13/04/2008.

Emissions Reductions were calculated on the basis of approved monitoring methodology ACM0002 version 6.

Verified emissions reductions for the reporting period:
08th December 2007 – 31st December 2007: 522 tCO₂e
1st January 2008 – 13th April 2008: 12,469 tCO₂e

SGS Verification is based on a risk analysis approach based on a sample check and review of objective evidence.

The assessment included:

Collection and review of supporting evidence

Checks that the provisions of the Monitoring Plan in the PDD were consistently and appropriately applied.

Based on process and procedures conducted, in SGS' opinion, the emission reductions for the Project during the reporting period are materially correct and are a fair representation of the GHG data and information and the emissions reductions are fairly stated.

Lead Auditor: Joe Sun


Technical Reviewer: Elton Chen

Verification Opinion Date 14th December 2008

The verification is based on the information made available to SGS and the engagement conditions detailed in the report. SGS has requested and obtained all information needed in its professional judgement to reach the above opinion. However, SGS cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on any incorrect results arising from misleading or false information supplied to it.

Authorised by

SGS United Kingdom Ltd Climate Change Programme
SGS House, 217-221 London Road, Camberley, Surrey UK
t +44 (0)1276 697810 f +44 (0)1276 697888 www.sgs.com
Page 1 of 2



**Schedule Accompanying Verification Opinion Number
124358/1/Vol0286/MP1/12/08**

Brief Description of Verification Process

This opinion relates to the Project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reporting for the period mentioned above and the verification testing conducted against the GHG assertion of the MGM Worldwide, LLC and the PDD of the Project.

The management of the MGM Worldwide, LLC is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions on the basis set out within the Project Monitoring and Verification Plan. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project is the responsibility of the management of the MGM Worldwide, LLC.

SGS responsibility is to express an independent GHG verification opinion on the GHG emissions from the Project for the reporting period and on the calculation of the GHG emission reductions from the Project, based on the verified emissions for the same period.

Our approach is risk-based, drawing on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting GHG emissions data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Our examination includes assessment, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in relation to the project's GHG emission reductions for the period mentioned above.

We planned and performed our work to obtain the information and explanations that we considered necessary to provide sufficient evidence for us to give reasonable assurance that the amount of GHG emission reductions for the period mentioned above, prepared on the basis of the Monitoring Plan, are fairly stated.

We conducted our verification with regard to the client's GHG projects/assertion which included Xinjiang Xiaocaohu Wind Power Project and baseline GHG emissions, Monitoring Plan and GHG Emission reductions. This assessment included:

- a) Collection of evidence supporting the reported data
- b) Checking whether the provisions of the Monitoring Plan in the PDD, were consistently and appropriately applied

We have verified whether the information included in the monitoring report representing the project baseline is current and has been correctly extracted from the project site and the emissions reduction achieved has been determined by correctly subtracting emissions for the monitoring period from the baseline figure for the comparable period.